

RECEIVED

Minutes of the Wellfleet Historical Review Board, Tuesday, March 23, 1999

FEB 11 2002

Present: Jay Horowitz, Helen Purcell, Richard Robicheau

TOWN CLERK

Actions taken: The Board voted to change its application form to meet the suggestions of the Building Inspector. A sample of the new form is attached here.

In response to a request by the Town Administrator, the Board voted to adopt the following sentence as its Mission Statement:

The mission of the Wellfleet Historical Review Board is to protect from demolition all historically significant buildings which reflect the historical, cultural and architectural heritage of the Town of Wellfleet.

Discussion:

John Chapman, contractor for Lucy Dighton (Map 06, Lot 11) presented her request for permission to raze the house at that site. He brought pictures of the present building and plans of the proposed structure. He presented a rationale for tearing the existing house down: it is badly built, could be made to meet present codes only at great expense, is poorly insulated, is closer to the front bound than it will be if the owner is permitted to raze the present structure. Discussion made it clear that the plans as shown to the Board are not entirely accurate in such details as windows, roof lines, etc. The proposed siding for the new building is vinyl but Mr. Chapman said that if the Board would not accept such material because it is nmo historically correct, the owner would gree to red cedar shingles. Mr. Chapman gave the Board a copy of the letter the Dightons had received from the National Seashore Park which defers to the Zoning Board and the Historical Review Board but expresses a reluctance to see this example of cummer cottages from the post World War One period razed. The Board decided to re-visit the site and to defer a vote until the next meeting which will be held on March 30 at 5 0'clock in the Town Hall meeting room.

The minutes of the meeting of January 8 were accepted as read. In response to Vic Staley's suggestion about application forms to the Board, it was decided to add a date line to the form as well as a "For Office Use Only" stamp that would note the date the Building Inspector and the Historical Review Board received the application. Staley also suggested that the following lilne be added to the form's ionstructions to the applicant: "Submit the completed application along with the material identified on the following checklist to the Building Inspector who will present the application to the Historical Review Board within the prescribed five days."

Respectfully submitted,



Helen G. Purcell